

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/525,206 | 03/14/2000 | Marcus Peinado | MS1-394US | . 7714 |
| 22801 75 | 590 05/12/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| LEE & HAYES PLLC | | | BACKER, FIRMIN | |
| 421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201 | | 300 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 3621 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/525,206 | PEINADO ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Firmin Backer | 3621 | | | |
| – Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | | | | |
| - If NO - Failt - Any | e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 January 2005</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Thi | is action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| · | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-66</u> is/are pending in the application | | , | | | |
| -, | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5)∐ | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 7)∐ | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | | • • | | | |
| 11, | If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | | vod by the Examiner. | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| ,— <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| . * 5 | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| | The translation of the foreign language pro- Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | | | | | |
| . رياره. Attachmen | · | | | | | |
| 1) | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal F | r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to a request for reconsideration filed on January 1st, 2004

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-66 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maytal et al (U.S. Patent No 6,715,079).
- 3. As per claim 1, Halstead et al teach a method of providing an initial good to a computer wherein the initial digital good include a plurality of selectively arranged parts in an initial configuration and the initial digital good is configured as to not properly function with the computer receiving unique key data converting the initial good into a modified digital good using unique key data to selectively individualize the initial digital with at least one computer such that the plurality of selectively arrange parts in the modified digital good have been rearrange to have a substantially unique operative configuration tat properly functions with the computer and is

Art Unit: 3621

different that the initial configuration and causing the at least one computer to run the modified digital good (see columns 5 lines 56-6 line 5, 10 lines 16-52).

4. As per claims 2-66, they disclose the same inventive concept as claim 1. Therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed January 1st, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not 5. persuasive.
 - Applicant argue that the prior art fail to teach an inventive such that the plurality a. of selectively arranged parts in the modified digital good have been rearranged to be operatively different. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's characterization of the prior art. Maytal a system for protecting soft modem software, the system including a local computer having a unique key, and an external computer. The external computer receives the key from the local computer when the local computer accesses the external computer in order to download the software. The external computer embeds information related to the key in a customized version of the software, and downloads the customized version to the local computer. The system also includes means for altering operation of the customized version. The means for altering includes at least one of a group including the following means for stopping execution of the customized version, means for limiting the operation of the customized version to a predetermined service

Art Unit: 3621

level, and means for changing data samples passing through the customized version in a magnitude and frequency which prevents useful communication. The software is written to accept at least one parameter, as is known in the art, the at least one parameter representing information related to the unique identifier. The software is then compiled with the at least one parameter as is known in the art, in order to produce the customized version (emphasis added). For the reason above, the rejection is maintained

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6703. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Firmin Backer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3621

May 11, 2005